

REMARKS

Entry of the foregoing and reconsideration of the subject application are respectfully requested in light of the amendments above and the comments which follow.

As correctly noted in the Office Action Summary, claims 1, 2 and 4-33 were pending. By the present response, claims 1, 9-10 and 21 have been amended and claims 7-8 and 20 canceled. Thus, upon entry of the present response, claims 1-2, 4-6, 9-19 and 21-33 remain pending and await further consideration on the merits.

Support for the foregoing amendments can be found, for example, in at least the following locations in the original disclosure: the original claims

Entry of the foregoing is appropriate pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.116 for at least the following reasons. First, the amendments raise no new issues that would necessitate further search and/or substantive reexamination. Second, the amendments clearly overcome the grounds of rejection.

CLAIM REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. §102 AND §103

Claims 1-2, 4-5, 11-12, 16, 24-28 and 30-33 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(a) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,265,022 to Fernihough et al. (hereafter "*Fernihough et al.*") on the grounds set forth in paragraph 4 of the Official Action. Claims 6, 13-15, 17-23 and 29 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over *Fernihough et al.* on the grounds set forth in paragraph 6 of the Official Action. For at least the reasons noted below, this rejection should be withdrawn.

The Official Action dated March 11, 2005 does not contain a rejection of any one of claims 7-10, directed to inclusion of a substance which fluoresces under ultraviolet light and other related features. Therefore, by the incorporation of the features of claims 7 and 8 into independent claim 1, claim 1 and all of its dependent claims, i.e. claims 2, 4-6, 9-19 and 21-33, also are not rejected in the Official Action. Accordingly, it is respectfully asserted that all the pending claims contain subject matter that has not been rejected, the current rejections are moot in view of the amendments, and the rejections should be withdrawn.

CONCLUSION

From the foregoing, further and favorable action in the form of a Notice of Allowance is earnestly solicited. Should the Examiner feel that any issues remain, it is requested that the undersigned be contacted so that any such issues may be adequately addressed and prosecution of the instant application expedited.

Respectfully submitted,

BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P.

Date: June 13, 2005

By: _____

Jeffrey G. Killian
Registration No. 50,891

P.O. Box 1404
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1404
(703) 836-6620